PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

DK and D^*K scattering near threshold

C. B. Lang*
Institut für Physik, University of Graz, A–8010 Graz, Austria
E-mail: christian.lang@uni-graz.at

Luka Leskovec
Jozef Stefan Institute, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenian
E-mail: luka.leskovec@ijs.si

Daniel Mohler
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510-5011, USA
E-mail: dmohler@fnal.gov

Sasa Prelovsek
Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana and Jozef Stefan Institute, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
E-mail: sasa.prelovsek@ijs.si

R. M. Woloshyn
TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall Vancouver, BC V6T 2A3, Canada
E-mail: rwww@triumf.ca

arXiv:1410.6668v1 [hep-lat] 24 Oct 2014

We study the three D_s quantum channels $J^P = 0^+$, 1^+ and 2^+ where experiments have identified the charm-strange states $D^*_{s0}(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$, $D_{s1}(2536)$ near the DK and D^*K thresholds, and $D^*_{s2}(2573)$. We consider correlation functions for sets of $\bar{q}q$ operators and, for $J^P = 0^+$, 1^+, also the DK and D^*K meson-meson interpolators and determine for these cases values of the elastic scattering amplitude. Constructing the full set of correlators requires propagators which connect any pair of lattice sites. For one ensemble of gauge configurations ($32^3 \times 64$, $m_\pi \approx 156$ MeV) a stochastic distillation variant is employed and for another ensemble ($16^3 \times 32$, $m_\pi \approx 266$ MeV) we use the full distillation method. Both, $D^*_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$, are found as bound states below threshold, whereas $D_{s1}(2536)$, and $D^*_{s2}(2573)$ are identified as narrow resonances close to the experimental masses.

The 32nd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory,
23-28 June, 2014
Columbia University New York, NY

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

1. Motivation

The DK and D^*K scattering process is a technical challenge for Lattice Gauge Theory (LGT) studies as it involves three quark species $u/d, s$ and c (assuming u and d as degenerate in mass). One has to consider the light quark mass and at the same time the relatively heavy charm quark.

On the other hand it provides an important test on the reliability of such lattice calculations near a meson-meson threshold. The quantum channels $J^P = 0^+$ and 1^+ are both s-wave combinations of DK and D^*K, respectively. In experiments [1] one finds states below threshold ($D^*_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$) as well as above ($D_{s1}(2536)$, and $D^*_{s2}(2573)$ in 2^+) (see left hand columns in Fig. 1). This behaviour was not reproduced in quark model or in LGT calculations. In both approaches the bound states moved above threshold becoming resonances [2–9]. These calculations were in the "single hadron" approach, i.e., without considering the two-meson channels. As had been pointed out already earlier [10] threshold effects may be critical.

Here we report on a study of the coupled D^*_s, DK and D^*K systems in the quantum sectors $J_P = 0^+$, 1^+ and 2^+. Details can be found in [11, 12].

2. Setup

For this study two independent ensembles of gauge configurations were used:

Ensemble (1) has $N_f = 2$ dynamical light quarks (improved Wilson fermions), a pion mass of 266 MeV, lattice spacing of 0.1239 fm and lattice size $16^3 \times 32$.. It was produced in a re-weighting study [13, 14]. For this ensemble we use the standard distillation method [15] with a complete set of perambulators (one for each time slice set of 96 source vectors). The s and c quarks were both treated as valence quarks only.



Figure 1: Energy differences to the spin-averaged ground state mass. We compare results from experiments (l.h. columns) with our results (r.h. columns). The dashed green lines denote the relevant DK and D^*K thresholds.

Ensemble (2) with $N_f = 2+1$ dynamical quarks has been generated by the PACS-CS collaboration [16]. Sea and valence quarks are non-perturbatively improved Wilson fermions. It has lattice spacing 0.0907 fm, size $32^3 \times 64$ and a pion mass of 156 MeV. Here we used the stochastic distillation method [17]. The light and strange quarks were dynamical, the charm quark is treated as a valence quark.

The Wick contractions for the $(\bar{s}c) \leftrightarrow DK$ contributions involve partially disconnected graphs with back-tracking quark lines. Both distillation methods proved to be efficient to compute these. The quark mass parameter for the strange quark was obtained by tuning to the ϕ mass and the η_s mass.

For the K we use the relativistic dispersion relation and for D, D^* the Fermilab method [18, 19] was employed like in [20].

When studying meson-meson scattering in LGT all information is encoded in the discrete energy levels of the eigenstates of the cross-correlation matrix $C_{ij}(t) = \langle O_i(t) O_j^\dagger(0) \rangle$ between the lattice interpolators C_i. The generalised eigenvalue problem allows the determination of the eigenstates $|n\rangle$ [21 – 24]. From the exponential decay of the eigenvalues one obtains the lowest energy levels and from the eigenvectors one reconstructs the overlap factors $Z_i^n \equiv \langle O_i | n \rangle$. The latter provide information on the relative importance of the used lattice operators for the eigenstate. For details of the fit methods and fit ranges for the energy eigenvalues see [12].

For the 0^+ channel we used four operators of the type $(\bar{s} A c)$ where A denotes a suitable combination of Dirac matrices and lattice derivatives in a representation of A_1^+; there may be mixing with 4^+ but this is neglected. Furthermore, we used three operators of type DK with and without relative momenta. Equivalently, for 1^+ up to eight operators of the type $(\bar{s} A c)$ and three D^*K interpolators were considered. For 2^+ we used only two type $(\bar{s} A c)$ operators (see App. A of [12]).

Partial wave unitarity implies that the relativistic, elastic scattering amplitude $T(s)$ can be written as

$$T^{-1}(s) = \frac{p}{\sqrt{s}} \cot \delta(s) - \mathrm{i} \frac{p}{\sqrt{s}} \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $p(s)$ is the momentum and $s = E^2$ the CMS energy squared.

The figure shows the real part of an inverse elastic partial wave scattering amplitude $\mathrm{Re}[T^{-1}]$, The straight line is an effective range approximation to the measured energy level values for $p \cot \delta(p)$ as discussed in the text. Below the threshold one has to add $|p|/\sqrt{s}$ which is the continuation of the (above threshold) imaginary phase space factor $-\mathrm{i}p/\sqrt{s}$ to the upper Riemann sheet below threshold.



Near threshold (in the elastic regime) the energy levels E_n in finite volume are related to the values of the real part of this inverse scattering amplitude [22, 25 – 27],

$$f(p) \equiv p \cot \delta(p) = \frac{2 \mathscr{Z}_{00}(1; (\frac{pL}{2\pi})^2)}{L\sqrt{\pi}} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

This real function has no threshold singularity and the measured values can be found indeed above and below threshold. For s-wave scattering an effective range approximation,

$$f(p) \approx \frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{2} r_0 p^2 \,, \tag{2.3}$$

may be used to interpolate between the closest points near threshold. The imaginary contribution to T^{-1} becomes real below threshold. Thus T^{-1} develops a zero where $f(\mathrm{i}|p_B|) + |p_B| = 0$. That zero below threshold corresponds to a bound state pole of T in the upper Riemann sheet, as expected for a molecular bound state.

3. Results

In Fig. 2 we compare the energy levels obtained for four subsets of correlators. The order of interpolators is listed in App. A of [12]. Set 3 includes interpolators of type $D(0)K(0)$ whereas set 1 has only interpolators of type $c\bar{s}$. One finds a phenomenon already observed, e.g., in πN scattering in $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ [28]. When the two hadron interpolators are omitted the energy eigenstate averages the two nearby states seen in the complete basis.

The operator content of the eigenstates is exhibited in the overlap factors Z_i^n. These have undefined normalisation. This however cancels in the ratios $Z_i^n / \max_m Z_i^m$ which give the relative importance of the lattice operators to the eigenstate. Fig. 3 demonstrates the situation: Lattice operator 9 ($D^*(0)K(0)$) is most important for eigenstate 3 whereas lattice operator 11 ($D^*(1)K(-1)$) dominates eigenstate 4.



Figure 2: (up) Comparison of the energy levels obtained for four subsets of correlators for $J^P = 0^+$ (irrep A_1^+).
Figure 3: (r.h.s.) Results for energy levels obtained for various interpolator subsets ($J^p = 1^+$, irrep T_1^+) and ratios of overlap factors for one specific case.

ensemble/irrep	a_0 [fm]	r_0 [fm]	$m_K+m_D-m_B$ [MeV]	$m_B-\frac{1}{4}(m_{D_s}+3m_{D_s^*})$ [MeV]
irrep A_1^+				
ens. (1) set 4	-0.756(25)	-0.056(31)	78.9(5.4)(0.8)	287(5)(3)
ens. (2)	-1.33(20)	0.27(17)	36.6(16.6)(0.5)	266(17)(4)
Exp. $D_{s0}^*(2317)$			45.1	241.5
irrep T_1^+				
ens. (1)	-0.665(25)	-0.106(37)	93.2(4.7)(1.0)	404.6(4.5)(4.2)
ens. (2) set 7	-1.15(19)	0.13(22)	43.2(13.8)(0.6)	408(13)(5.8)
ens. (2) set 8	-1.11(11)	0.10(10)	44.2(9.9)(0.6)	407.0(8.8)(5.8)
Exp. $D_{s1}(2460)$			44.7	383

Table 1: Scattering length and effective range computed from the linear interpolation near threshold, and parameters for the position of the bound states. The second uncertainty given for values in MeV corresponds to the uncertainty in the lattice scale a. The experimental value of $m_K+m_D-m_B$ is averaged over D^+K^0 and D^0K^+ (or $D^{*+}K^0$ and $D^{*0}K^+$, respectively) thresholds. Where given, the set numbers refer to Figs. 2 and 3.

ensemble	$m_{D_{s1}(2536)}-m_K-m_{D^*}$ [MeV]	$m_{D_{s1}(2536)}-\frac{1}{4}(m_{D_s}+3m_{D_s^*})$ [MeV]
ens. (1) set 4	-53(12)	444(12)
ens. (2) set 7	56(11)	507(10)
ens. (2) set 8	50(8)	501(8)
Exp. $D_{s1}(2536)$	31	459

Table 2: Comparison of the mass of $D_{s1}(2536)$ with experiment.

Our main results are values for the bound state positions and for the scattering lengths. Table 1 summarises the bound state results for both ensembles.

The second lowest state in both ensembles is identified with $D_{s1}(2536)$. In ensemble (1) with the heavier pion the state lies below $m_{D^*}+m_K$, but in the ensemble (2) we find it above this threshold. The mass is compared with experiment in Table 2. In the heavy quark limit [29] $D_{s1}(2536)$ does not couple to D^*K in s-wave. We find that the composition of the states with regard to the $\overline{q}q$ operators is fairly independent of whether the D^*K operators are included or not. The level is not observed if only D^*K interpolators are used.

Since the mass of the $D_{s2}^*(2573)\to DK$ (with a width of 17(4) MeV) is quite far away from the first (in d-wave) relevant level $D(1)K(-1)$ we did not include the DK or possible D^*K^* interpolators. We find differences $(E-\frac{1}{4}(m_{D_s}+3m_{D_s^*}))$ of 473(10)(5) MeV (ensemble (1)) and 520(8)(7) MeV (ensemble (2)), comparable to the experimental value 496 MeV.

Acknowledgments: We thank Anna Hasenfratz and the PACS-CS collaboration for providing gauge configurations. D. M. would like to thank E. Eichten, F.-K. Guo, M. Hansen, A. Kronfeld, Y. Liu and J. Simone for insightful discussions. The calculations were performed on computing clusters at TRIUMF, the University of Graz, NAWI Graz, and at Jozef Stefan Institute. This work is supported in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF):[I1313-N27], by the Slovenian Research

Agency ARRS project N1-0020 and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Fermilab is operated by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. De-AC02-07CH11359 with the United States Department of Energy.

References

[1] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, J. Beringer *et al.*, *Review of Particle Physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001.

[2] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, *Mesons in a Relativized Quark Model with Chromodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **32** (1985) 189–231.

[3] **PACS-CS Collaboration** Collaboration, Y. Namekawa *et al.*, *Charm quark system at the physical point of 2+1 flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 074505, [arXiv:1104.4600].

[4] D. Mohler and R. M. Woloshyn, *D and D_s meson spectroscopy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 054505, [arXiv:1103.5506].

[5] G. Bali, S. Collins, and P. Perez-Rubio, *Charmed hadron spectroscopy on the lattice for $N_f = 2+1$ flavours*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **426** (Dec., 2013) 012017, [arXiv:1212.0565].

[6] G. Bali, S. Collins, S. Durr, Z. Fodor, R. Horsley, *et al.*, *Spectra of heavy-light and heavy-heavy mesons containing charm quarks, including higher spin states for $N_f = 2+1$*, *PoS* **LATTICE2011** (2011) 135, [arXiv:1108.6147].

[7] G. Moir, M. Peardon, S. M. Ryan, C. E. Thomas, and L. Liu, *Excited spectroscopy of charmed mesons from lattice qcd*, *JHEP* **05** (Jan., 2013) 021, [arXiv:1301.7670].

[8] M. Kalinowski and M. Wagner, *Masses of mesons with charm valence quarks from 2+1+1 flavor twisted mass lattice QCD*, *Acta Physica Polonica B Proceedings Supplement vol.* **6** (Apr., 2013) page 991, [arXiv:1304.7974].

[9] M. Wagner and M. Kalinowski, *Twisted mass lattice computation of charmed mesons with focus on D^{**}*, arXiv:1310.5513.

[10] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *Observed $D_s(2317)$ and tentative D(2030) as the charmed cousins of the light scalar nonet*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 012003, [hep-ph/0305035].

[11] D. Mohler, C. B. Lang, L. Leskovec, S. Prelovsek, and R. M. Woloshyn, *$D^*_{s0}(2317)$ Meson and D-Meson Kaon Scattering from Lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 222001, [arXiv:1308.3175].

[12] C. B. Lang, L. Leskovec, D. Mohler, S. Prelovsek, and R. M. Woloshyn, *D_s mesons with DK and D^*K scattering near threshold*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 034510, [arXiv:1403.8103].

[13] A. Hasenfratz, R. Hoffmann, and S. Schaefer, *Reweighting towards the chiral limit*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 014515, [arXiv:0805.2369].

[14] A. Hasenfratz, R. Hoffmann, and S. Schaefer, *Low energy chiral constants from epsilon-regime simulations with improved Wilson fermions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 054511, [arXiv:0806.4586].

[15] **Hadron Spectrum Collaboration** Collaboration, M. Peardon, J. Bulava, J. Foley, C. Morningstar, J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, B. Joo, H.-W. Lin, D. G. Richards, and K. J. Juge, *A novel quark-field creation operator construction for hadronic physics in lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 054506, [arXiv:0905.2160].

[16] S. Aoki, K.-I. Ishikawa, N. Ishizuka, T. Izubuchi, D. Kadoh, K. Kanaya, Y. Kuramashi, Y. Namekawa, M. Okawa, Y. Taniguchi, A. Ukawa, N. Ukita, and T. Yoshie, *2+1 Flavor Lattice QCD toward the Physical Point*, *Phys .Rev. D* **79** (2009) 034503, [arXiv:0807.1661].

[17] C. Morningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K. J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C. H. Wong, *Improved stochastic estimation of quark propagation with Laplacian Heaviside smearing in lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 114505, [arXiv:1104.3870].

[18] A. X. El-Khadra, A. S. Kronfeld, and P. B. Mackenzie, *Massive Fermions in Lattice Gauge Theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 3933, [hep-lat/9604004].

[19] M. B. Oktay and A. S. Kronfeld, *New lattice action for heavy quarks*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 014504, [arXiv:0803.0523].

[20] D. Mohler, S. Prelovsek, and R. M. Woloshyn, *D Pi scattering and D meson resonances from lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (Aug., 2013) 034501, [arXiv:1208.4059].

[21] C. Michael, *Adjoint Sources in Lattice Gauge Theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **259** (1985) 58.

[22] M. Lüscher, *Volume dependence of the energy spectrum in massive quantum field theories. I. Stable particle states*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 177.

[23] M. Lüscher and U. Wolff, *How to calculate the Elastic Scattering Matrix in 2-Dimensional QuantumField Theories by Numerical Simulation*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **339** (1990) 222.

[24] B. Blossier, M. Della-Morte, G. von Hippel, T. Mendes, and R. Sommer, *On the generalized eigenvalue method for energies and matrix elements in lattice field theory*, *JHEP* **0904** (2009) 094, [arXiv:0902.1265].

[25] M. Lüscher, *Volume dependence of the energy spectrum in massive quantum field theories. II. Scattering states*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **105** (1986) 153.

[26] M. Lüscher, *Two-Particle States on a Torus and Their Relation to the Scattering Matrix*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **354** (1991) 531.

[27] M. Lüscher, *Signatures of unstable particles in finite volume*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **364** (1991) 237.

[28] C. B. Lang and V. Verduci, *Scattering in the pion-nucleon negative parity channel in lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (Dec., 2013) 054502, [arXiv:1212.5055].

[29] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *Spectroscopy with heavy quark symmetry*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 1130.